



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/971,135	10/04/2001	Lance W. Russell	10012453-1	1637
7590 10/13/2005			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			LANE, JOHN A	
Intellectual Pro P.O. Box 27240	perty Administration 00	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2188	

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
				EXAMINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER	
				20051011	

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Please find an intialed and signed copy of forms PTO/SB/08B (2) received 09/19/2005.

The timing of the IDS is somewhat unusual in that it was mailed two (2) days after the Examiner conducted a telephonic interview with Mr. Garcia and nearly 8 months after a request for prior art was presented in the final Office action. There was no discussion of a subsequent IDS submission during the interview.

In the event the IDS submission is in response to the Examiner's request for prior art (or documentation relied upon for supporting claim limitations), the following further request was made in the final Office action:

[A] discussion of relevant passages, figs. etc. with respect to the claims must be provided. The examiner is looking for specific references to 102/103 prior art that identify independent and dependent claim limitations. Since applicant is most knowledgeable of the present invention and submitted art, his/her discussion of the reference(s) with respect to the instant claims is essential.

Applicant has not provided any discussion of the references with respect to the present claims as requested.

In the event the IDS submission was not in response to the Examiner's request in the final action, the Examiner now requests a discussion of which, if any, independent claim limitations correspond to or are anticipated by prior art features found in the IDS documentation.

Applicant should respond to the above comments prior to the application publishing as a patent so that the Examiner has time to consider reopening prosection should the situation present itself.

JACK A. LANE PRIMARY EXAMINER